

Dee Why Town Centre Proposal Summary: The planning proposal seeks to		/arringah Local Environmental Plan 2011 for "Site B" within the			
		•	al seeks to amend the site specific controls for "Site B" of the Dee Why /arringah Local Environmental Plan 2011.		
PP Number	PP_2015_WARRI	_002_00	Dop File No :	15/01481	
oposal Details					
Date Planning Proposal Receiv	21-Jan-2015 red :		LGA covered :	Warringah	
Region :	Metro(CBD)		RPA :	Warringah C	ouncil
State Electorate			Section of the Act :	55 - Planning	g Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning				
ocation Detail	S				
Street :	9 Howard Avenue				
Suburb :	Dee Why	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2099
Land Parcel :	Lot 7, DP 8172				
Street :	11 Howard Avenue				
Suburb :	Dee Why	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2099
Land Parcel :	Lot 1, DP 209503				
Street :	15 Howard Avenue				
Suburb :	Dee Why	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2099
Land Parcel :	Lot 1, DP 212382				
Street :	17 Howard Avenue				
Suburb :	Dee Why	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2099
Land Parcel :	Lot 2, DP 212382				
Street :	14 Oaks Avenue				
Suburb :	Dee Why	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2099
Land Parcel :	Lot A, DP 371110				
Street :	16 Oaks Avenue				
Suburb :	Dee Why	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2099
Land Parcel :	Lot B, DP 371110				
Street :	28 Oaks Avenue				
Suburb :	Dee Why	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2099

itreet :	884 Pittwater Road				
Suburb :	Dee Why	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2009
and Parcel :	Lot A, DP 339410	-	-		
Street :	888 Pittwater Road				
Suburb :	Dee Why	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2099
and Parcel :	Lot 11, DP 231418				
Street :	890 Pittwater Road				
Suburb :	Dee Why	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2099
Land Parcel :	Lot 10, DP 231418				
Street :	892 Pittwater Road				
Suburb :	Dee Why	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2099
Land Parcel :	Lot 1, DP 504212				
Street :	894 Pittwater Road				
Suburb :	Dee Why	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2099
Land Parcel :	Lot A, DP 416469				
Street :	896 Pittwater Road				
Suburb :	Dee Why	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2099
and Parcel :	Lot 1 and 3, DP 307937				
oP Planning (Officer Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Lee McCourt				
Contact Number :	0285754129				
Contact Email :	lee.mccourt@planning.	nsw.gov	au		
PA Contact D	etails				
Contact Name :	Theo Zotos				
Contact Number :	0299422111				
Contact Email :	theo.zotos@warringah.	nsw.gov	au		
DoP Project Manager Contact Details					
Contact Name :					
Contact Number :	000000000				
Contact Email :					
Land Release Data					
Growth Centre :	N/A		Release Area Name :	N/A	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy	Metro North East subr	egion	Consistent with Strategy	Yes	

;				
THE SITE The site is known as "Site B" of the Dee Why Town Centre under the Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011. The site comprises of 13 allotments with a total area of 14,466sqm.				
ıl				
đ				

2015.

DELEGATION OF PLAN MAKING FUNCTIONS Warringah Council is seeking delegation to carry out the Minister's functions under section 59 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act) to

progress this planning proposal. Council has provided Attachment 4 - Evaluation criteria for the delegation of plan making functions. Delegation is considered appropriate.

Adequacy Assessment

Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment :

The objective of the planning proposal is to permit alternative built form and composition for "Site B" within the Dee Why Town Centre.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

The planning proposal seeks to amend the site specific controls for "Site B" of the Dee Why Town Centre under Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011.

1. Height of Buildings across "Site B"

Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011, currently stipulates the siting and bulk of future development through the use of building envelopes. These envelopes also limit the extent of buildings in a horizontal direction.

In order to achieve the desired urban form for the town centre an amendment to the Height of Buildings Map is required which will allow for a finer and more detailed design on site. The existing maximum building height of 78m across the site will remain.

2. Clause 7.3(i)(ii) - Objectives for development within the Dee Why Town Centre Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011, currently stipulates that ground floor addressing publicly accessible areas must provide a mix of retail land uses including shops, cafes and restaurants.

The planning proposal seeks to remove the restriction on the types of retail that can be developed at ground level. The current LEP aims to only permit shops, cafes and restaurants at ground level. It is proposed that future development will provide for a greater range of land uses.

3. Clause 7.3(j) - Objectives for development within the Dee Why Town Centre

The planning proposal seeks to amend the objective encouraging commercial premises on the first and second floor of any future development. The intended outcome is to enable residential use of the first and second floor while retaining a minimum gross commercial floor area of 12,745sqm, which is significantly less than Council's original intent for Site B.

4. Clause 7.12(2)(a) - Provisions promoting retail activity

The planning proposal seeks to broaden the range of permitted land uses for ground floor development by permitting medical centres and office premises at ground floor. The intent is to ensure consistency with clause 7.3(i)(ii) objectives for development within the Dee Why Town Centre.

5. Clause 7.12(2)(c) and (d) - Provisions promoting retail activity

Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011 currently stipulates that ground and first floor

premises must not be used for residential accommodation, medical centres, and office premises.

The planning proposal seeks to remove this prohibition of medical centres and office premises. The intended outcome is to allow for more flexible land uses to suit market conditions whilst still ensuring a strong commercial presence on the site.

It is noted that the planning proposal in its current form does not request the removal of site specific Clause 7.8 Site B Oaks Avenue above podium elements, however, the clause and its intent may be made redundant by the above amendments to the Plan. Should this proposal proceed to Gateway it is recommended that the planning proposal be revised to discuss the relationship with clause 7.8 (ie. does it need to be retained or amended).

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

* May need the Director General's agreement

1.1 Business and Industrial Zones
 3.1 Residential Zones
 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
 6.3 Site Specific Provisions
 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified? N/A

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? No

If No, explain :

SECTION 117 Direction 1.1 - Business and Industrial Zones

The planning proposal is inconsistent with this direction as it may reduce the level of floor space made available for employment purposes within the centre.

While the proposal will allow for a greater diversity of permissible land uses which may lead to future employment opportunities, the establishment of a gross floor area of not less than 12,745sqm for commercial premises has not been adequately justified.

Further evidence is required to ensure that the proposal will protect or support the viability of the commercial core within the Brookvale-Dee Why strategic centre. As such, should this matter proceed to Gateway it is recommended that the inconsistency with this direction be satisfactorily justified.

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

The planning proposal contains indicative mapping reflecting the intent of the planning proposal. The maps submitted with the proposal are difficult to read. They need to be updated prior to exhibition to clearly and accurately reflect the existing and proposed mapping.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

A 14 day public consultation is proposed consistent with the Department's guidelines for low impact proposals. This is considered appropriate.

Council suggested a timeframe of 18 months to completion, however, the Department considers 12 months appropriate.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? N/A

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in Warringah Local Environmental Plan was notified in 2011. relation to Principal LEP :

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :	Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011 currently stipulates the location of residential towers and podiums. Under the LEP these cannot be varied by more than 2 metres in any horizontal direction.
	In addition, the ability to consider a development application outside of the identified envelopes is prohibited under clause 4.6 of the Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011.
	Therefore a planning proposal is the only mechanism that would allow for the consideration of planning controls outside those already currently prescribed under Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011.

le Dee why Town Ce					
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The planning proposal seeks to deliver the outcomes of the Dee Why Town Centre masterplan, which envisages "Site B" as a key site within the town centre.				
	The outcomes of this proposal will permit alternative built form and remove restrictions on permissible land use, allowing for a greater range of land uses for future development.				
	responsiveness to mar Sydney' (December 201 commercial premises v development within the	ibility in land uses will promote econ ket demand. This is generally consis (4), as it establishes a minimum gros while considering opportunities for a strategic centre. This is significantly was 2 to 3 times the current proposa	tent with 'A Plan for Growing is floor area of 12,745sqm for dditional mixed-use y less than Council's original		
	in Brookvale-Dee Why, Environmental Plan 20′	nment's key priorities for the centre i as required for long-term employme 11, currently provides local provisior ties by providing at least 3 levels (ind esidential purposes.	nt growth. The Warringah Local is that accommodate		
	In light of State Government's priorities for Brookvale-Dee Why, it is recommended that Council updates the planning proposal to demonstrate how employment opportunities will continue to be catered for within the centre, prior to public exhibition.				
		stified through independent market a udy be prepared to justify Council's			
	Additionally, it is recommended that the planning proposal be updated to generally address consistency with 'A Plan for Growing Sydney' prior to public exhibition.				
Environmental social economic impacts :	Council states that the proposal is consistent with the 'Warringah Employment Study', which highlights that Dee Why is likely to become the focus for housing and convenience retail and local business.				
	The proposal is seeking to deliver mixed use development within a well located town for transport, infrastructure, and services. It is therefore considered that the environmental and social impacts of the proposed change are minor in nature. Although no negative impacts are envisaged from this proposal, management and minimisation of such issues will be dealt with at development application stage.				
Assessment Proces	\$\$				
Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	14 Days		
Timeframe to make LEP :	12 months	Delegation :	RPA		
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :					

Is Public Hearing by the PAC required? No					
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes			
If no, provide reasons :					
Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No				
If Yes, reasons :					
dentify any additional st	udies, if required.				
lf Other, provide reasons	f Other, provide reasons :				
Identify any internal cons	sultations, if required	:			
No internal consultation	n required				
Is the provision and fund	ling of state infrastru	cture relevant to this p	blan? No		
If Yes, reasons					
	11.05 J 10 D 17 AS				
uments					
Document File Name			DocumentType Name	Is Public	
01. Letter from Council.			Proposal Covering Letter	Yes	
02. Minutes WDAP and Council.pdf			Proposal	Yes	
03. Planning Proposal.p	odf	n	Proposal	Yes	
03. Planning Proposal.p nning Team Recomi	mendation	ed at this stage : Rec	Proposal		
03. Planning Proposal.p nning Team Recomi Preparation of the planni	mendation ing proposal support 1.1 Business and 3.1 Residential Z 3.4 Integrating La 6.3 Site Specific	l Industrial Zones ones and Use and Transpo	Proposal commended with Conditions		
03. Planning Proposal.p nning Team Recomi Preparation of the planni S.117 directions:	mendation ing proposal support 1.1 Business and 3.1 Residential Z 3.4 Integrating Li 6.3 Site Specific 7.1 Implementati 1. As the plannin proposal is to be (a) explain how t future employme (b) provide justif Industrial Zones (c) in the context	d Industrial Zones ones and Use and Transpo Provisions on of A Plan for Grou g proposal may resu a updated prior to ext he planning proposa ent opportunities; ication on the incons , supported by an inconst t of potential loss of	Proposal commended with Conditions ort wing Sydney ult in a loss of commercial floor spa	Yes	
03. Planning Proposal.p nning Team Recomi	mendation ing proposal support 1.1 Business and 3.1 Residential Z 3.4 Integrating Li 6.3 Site Specific 7.1 Implementati 1. As the plannin proposal is to be (a) explain how t future employme (b) provide justif Industrial Zones (c) in the context with 'A Plan for (2. Prior to under (a) update the He controls for the s	d Industrial Zones ones and Use and Transpo Provisions on of A Plan for Grow or g proposal may resu e updated prior to exi he planning proposa ent opportunities; ication on the income , supported by an ind t of potential loss of Growing Sydney', rel taking public exhibit eight of Building Mag site;	Proposal commended with Conditions ort wing Sydney ult in a loss of commercial floor spa hibition to: al and broader town centre will conf sistency with s117 Direction 1.1 Bus dependent economic/market assess commercial floorspace, demonstra	Yes ace, the planni tinue to cater f siness and sment; and te consistency updated to: g and propose	

	 Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the EP&A Act as follows: (a) the planning proposal is classified as low impact as described in A Guide to Preparin LEPs (Department of Planning and Environment 2013) and must be made publicly available for a minimum of 14 days; and (b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning and Environment 2013). 	
	 4. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land). 5. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 12 months from the week following the 	
	date of the Gateway determination.	
Supporting Reasons :	The Department supports the planning proposal proceeding, to allow for public exhibition and feedback on the proposal.	
Signature:	Tites	
Printed Name:	TIM ARCHER Date: 26.2.15	